

**REMARKS**

An Abstract of the Disclosure is submitted herewith in accordance with the requirement of the Examiner.

Claim 14 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for the reason that it depends from itself. This informality has been corrected by the amendment of claim 14 to depend from new claim 16 that is the same as claim 13 written in independent form.

Claims 1-9 and 12-14 stand rejected based on prior art as follows:

1. Claims 1, 4, 5, 8 and 9 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by GB 1546223;

2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by France (2681346);

3. Claims 1, 2 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hein (2850505);

4. Claims 1, 2 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sharp (2951798);

5. Claims 2, 6, 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aldag (4814256);

6. Claims 1, 2, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by JP 11-116574; and

7. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over France (2681346).

The Examiner has indicated that claims 10 and 11 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of dependent claim 10 and thus should now be allowable. Similarly, new claim 15 includes the limitations of dependent claim 11 and thus should also be allowable.

Claim 13 has been rewritten in independent form as new claim 16 and claim 14 has been amended to depend from new claim 16. It is submitted that the recitations of new claim 16 and dependent claim 14 are not anticipated or rendered obvious by the teachings of the references cited against these claims. Claims 16 and 14 both recite a selectively light-absorptive filter for a color display. None of the references cited by the Examiner with respect to claims 13 and 14 relate to such a light-absorptive filter and thus are considered to be non-analogous. GB 1546223 relates to transparent receptor sheets for use in an image transfer process; Hein relates to oil-soluble colors for coloring plastics and the like; and Aldag relates to optical recording media/recording materials for storing data. These teachings are significantly different from the selectively light-absorptive filter for a color display, as recited in new claim 16 and dependent claim 14.


New claims 17 and 18 depend from allowed claims 1 and 15, respectively, and thus should also be allowable.

Seung-Gak YANG  
Appl. No. 10/048,075  
March 27, 2004

In view of the above amendments and remarks, the amended and new claims 1, 14 and 15-18 are clearly allowable to Applicant, and formal allowance thereof is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

**NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.**

By:   
Frank P. Presta  
Reg. No. 19,828

FPP:cr  
1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor  
Arlington, VA 22201-4714  
Telephone: (703) 816-4000  
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100